


[Download free ebook] Determining Damages: The Psychology of Jury Awards (Law and Public Policy)

Determining Damages: The Psychology of Jury Awards (Law and Public Policy)

Eddie Greene, Brian Bornstein

**Download PDF | ePub | DOC | audiobook | ebooks*



DOWNLOAD



+

READ ONLINE

#2080690 in Books Amer Psychological Assn 2003-01-01Original language:EnglishPDF # 1 .94 x 7.40 x 10.261, 1.60 #File Name: 1557989745238 pages | File size: 69.Mb

Eddie Greene, Brian Bornstein : Determining Damages: The Psychology of Jury Awards (Law and Public Policy) before purchasing it in order to gage whether or not it would be worth my time, and all praised Determining Damages: The Psychology of Jury Awards (Law and Public Policy):

7 of 9 people found the following review helpful. Well written, well researched bookBy Kindle CustomerI am a structured settlement consultant who has worked in thousands of injury cases over 20 years. Although I have not participated in many jury trials, I know the way that jury verdicts effect settlements and the entire litigation

system. This is an even handed, well researched view of how damages are determined. I am buying several copies to send to friends who are trial lawyers. A better book for seeing a case from start to finish, (less academic and more of a dramatic story) is a book entitled Damages. However, this book is one that participants in the litigation system should read. Don McNay...

Determining Damages examines whether jurors are able to assess damages in a fair and predictable manner. Jury decisions about damages have been deemed biased, capricious, unreliable, hostile to corporate defendants, excessively generous, and out of control. In this book, the authors provide an empirical analysis of the ways that jurors and juries determine damage awards. A theme that pervades the book is that in many respects, jurors charged with the complex task of compensating the injured and punishing the wrongdoers do a commendable job of it. When jury decisions diverge from what we expect, the difficulty of the decision-making context may be at least as much to blame as any moral or intellectual failings on the individual jurors. The authors discuss the factors that influence damage assessment, such as the identity of the plaintiff, defendant and jurors themselves; the severity and nature of the injury; and the conduct of the litigants. They also examine the different reasoning processes that jurors use to determine what they believe are just awards. The book culminates with a discussion that considers whether or not the American jury system should be reformed.