


(Library ebook) DiaLaw: On Legal Justification and Dialogical Models of Argumentation (Law and Philosophy Library)

DiaLaw: On Legal Justification and Dialogical Models of Argumentation (Law and Philosophy Library)

A.R. Lodder

*ePub | *DOC | audiobook | ebooks | Download PDF*



Arno R. Lodder

DiaLaw

On Legal Justification and Dialogical
Models of Argumentation

Managing Editors:
Francisco Laporta, *Autonomous University of Madrid, Spain*
Aleksander Peczenik, *University of Lund, Sweden*
Frederick Schauer, *Harvard University, U.S.A.*

SPRINGER-SCIENCE+BUSINESS MEDIA, B.V.

DOWNLOAD



READ ONLINE

#15294580 in Books Arno R Lodder 2001-11-30Original language:EnglishPDF # 1 9.25 x .48 x 6.10l, .67
#File Name: 1402002874198 pagesDialaw | File size: 33.Mb

A.R. Lodder : DiaLaw: On Legal Justification and Dialogical Models of Argumentation (Law and Philosophy Library) before purchasing it in order to gage whether or not it would be worth my time, and all praised DiaLaw: On

Legal Justification and Dialogical Models of Argumentation (Law and Philosophy Library):

This book is a revised version of my dissertation 'DiaLaw - on legal th justification and dialog games' that I defended on June 5 1998 at the Universiteit Maastricht. The chapters 1, 4 5 (now: 1, 5 6) of my dissertation have remained largely unaltered. In chapter 2 I added explicitly the distinction between constructing legal justification and reconstructing it, and tried to elucidate the differences (and similarities) between the product and process of justification. Chapter 3 is divided into two chapters: one on the general characteristics of DiaLaw (now: chapter 3), and the other on specific, legal characteristics of DiaLaw (now: chapter 4). In order to improve readability, all rules in these chapters have been rewritten considerably. The section on the implementation of DiaLaw is moved to the appendix. In chapter 7 (the former chapter 6), a discussion of the notions 'procedural' and 'structural' arguments is added, and different layers in argumentation models are discussed. Finally, in chapter 8 (the former chapter 7) is added a recapitulation of my view on legal justification, and a discussion on the future use in legal practice of dialog models that represent argumentation in a natural way. The main thesis has remained unaltered: legal justification should be modeled as a procedural, dialogical model in which not only products of argumentation are allowed, but, even in formal models, rhetorical, psychological aspects of argument are dealt with.

`... merit of Lodder's book rather lies on the terrain of clarity of exposition and completeness... By its sober language and transparent but otherwise complete exposition I recommend the use...in graduate courses on AI Law and legal argumentation.' Artificial Intelligence and Law, 8 (2000)